

Atelier PARI/GP 2022

Rank of certain elliptic surfaces over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$

Joint work with Francesco Battistoni and Sandro Bettin.

January 10, 2022

If you prefer an exercice

- Let $P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$.

Then $P(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[k, b_0, b_1][X]$ is irreducible.

Question

For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P(X)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$?

Remark : false if we take

$$4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X + (b_0^2 - 20k^2).$$

If you prefer an exercice

- Let $P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$.
~~> Then $P(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[k, b_0, b_1][X]$ is irreducible.

QUESTION

For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P(X)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$?

Remark : false if we take

$$4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X + (b_0^2 - 20k^2).$$

If you prefer an exercice

- Let $P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$.
~~> Then $P(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[k, b_0, b_1][X]$ is irreducible.

Question

For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P(X)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$?

Remark : false if we take

$$4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X + (b_0^2 - 20k^2).$$

If you prefer an exercice

- Let $P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$.
~~> Then $P(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[k, b_0, b_1][X]$ is irreducible.

Question

For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P(X)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$?

Remark : false is we take

$$4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X + (b_0^2 - 20k^2).$$

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_3(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T],$$

non-isotrivial.

$\leadsto r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :

$\leadsto r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_3(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T],$$

non-isotrivial.

$\leadsto r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :

$\leadsto r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_3(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T)$, with $\alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$,

non-isotrivial.

$\leadsto r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :

$\leadsto r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_3(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T)$, with $\alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$,

non-isotrivial.

$\leadsto r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :
 $\rightarrow r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T)$, with $\alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$,
non-isotrivial.

$\rightsquigarrow r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :
 $\rightsquigarrow r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_3(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T)$, with $\alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$,
non-isotrivial.

$\rightsquigarrow r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :
 $\rightsquigarrow r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and Notations

- Family of elliptic curves: an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T)$, with $\alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$,
non-isotrivial.

$\rightsquigarrow r \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank $\mathbb{Q}(T)$.

- Specialization: for all but finitely many $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is an e. c. over \mathbb{Q} :
 $\rightsquigarrow r(t)$ the rank of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Goal: study \mathcal{F} when $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{Q}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

- We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^3 \leq 2$.

→ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{Q}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

- We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^3 \leq 2$.

→ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

- We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^2 \leq 2$.

→ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

• We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^2 \leq 2$.

→ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

- We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^3 \leq 2$.

→ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

- We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^3 \leq 2$.

~ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Motivations and notations

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \alpha_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

- If $\deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2$ then \mathcal{F} is a rational elliptic surface.

Shioda-Tate's formula gives:

$$r_{\mathcal{F}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(T)} = 8 - \sum_v (m_v - 1),$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components in v .

Here, we consider

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = X^3 + \alpha_2(T)X^2 + \alpha_4(T)x + \alpha_6(T), \text{ with } \deg \alpha_i(T) \leq 2.$$

- We write

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X],$$

et $\deg A, B \leq 2$ et $\deg C - X^3 \leq 2$.

~ Using Nagao's formula, we obtain a close formula for r in function of A, B and C . And we find r points naturally.

Moral result

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$, with $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

This gives

$$Y^2 = A(X) \left(T + \frac{B(X)}{2A(X)} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)}{4A(X)}$$

Observation

If $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that:

- (i) ρ is a root of $\Delta := B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)$;
- (ii) $A(\rho) = L^2(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2$;

Then

$$P(\rho) = \left(\rho, L(\rho) \left(T + \frac{B(\rho)}{2A(\rho)} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)(T)).$$

↪ Trace of $P(\rho)$ on \mathcal{F} gives $P_{[\rho]} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$.

If $A(\rho) = B(\rho) = 0$ and $C(\rho) = L(\rho)^2$, consider $P(\rho) = (\rho, L(\rho))$.

Moral result

$$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X), \text{ with } A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

This gives

$$Y^2 = A(X) \left(T + \frac{B(X)}{2A(X)} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)}{4A(X)}$$

Observation

If $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that:

- (i) ρ is a root of $\Delta := B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)$;
- (ii) $A(\rho) = L^2(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2$;

Then

$$P(\rho) = \left(\rho, L(\rho) \left(T + \frac{B(\rho)}{2A(\rho)} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)(T)).$$

↪ Trace of $P(\rho)$ on \mathcal{F} gives $P_{[\rho]} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$.

If $A(\rho) = B(\rho) = 0$ and $C(\rho) = L(\rho)^2$, consider $P(\rho) = (\rho, L(\rho))$.

Moral result

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$, with $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

This gives

$$Y^2 = A(X) \left(T + \frac{B(X)}{2A(X)} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)}{4A(X)}$$

Observation

If $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that:

- (i) ρ is a root of $\Delta := B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)$;
- (ii) $A(\rho) = L^2(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2$;

Then

$$P(\rho) = \left(\rho, L(\rho) \left(T + \frac{B(\rho)}{2A(\rho)} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)(T)).$$

↪ Trace of $P(\rho)$ on \mathcal{F} gives $P_{[\rho]} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$.

If $A(\rho) = B(\rho) = 0$ and $C(\rho) = L(\rho)^2$, consider $P(\rho) = (\rho, L(\rho))$.

Moral result

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$, with $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

This gives

$$Y^2 = A(X) \left(T + \frac{B(X)}{2A(X)} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)}{4A(X)}$$

Observation

If $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that:

- (i) ρ is a root of $\Delta := B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)$;
- (ii) $A(\rho) = L^2(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2$;

Then

$$P(\rho) = \left(\rho, L(\rho) \left(T + \frac{B(\rho)}{2A(\rho)} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)(T)).$$

→ Trace of $P(\rho)$ on \mathcal{F} gives $P_{[\rho]} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$.

If $A(\rho) = B(\rho) = 0$ and $C(\rho) = L(\rho)^2$, consider $P(\rho) = (\rho, L(\rho))$.

Moral result

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$, with $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

This gives

$$Y^2 = A(X) \left(T + \frac{B(X)}{2A(X)} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)}{4A(X)}$$

Observation

If $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that:

- (i) ρ is a root of $\Delta := B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)$;
- (ii) $A(\rho) = L^2(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2$;

Then

$$P(\rho) = \left(\rho, L(\rho) \left(T + \frac{B(\rho)}{2A(\rho)} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)(T)).$$

\rightsquigarrow Trace of $P(\rho)$ on \mathcal{F} gives $P_{[\rho]} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$.

If $A(\rho) = B(\rho) = 0$ and $C(\rho) = L(\rho)^2$, consider $P(\rho) = (\rho, L(\rho))$.

Moral result

$\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$, with $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

This gives

$$Y^2 = A(X) \left(T + \frac{B(X)}{2A(X)} \right)^2 - \frac{B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)}{4A(X)}$$

Observation

If $\rho \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that:

- (i) ρ is a root of $\Delta := B^2(X) - 4A(X)C(X)$;
- (ii) $A(\rho) = L^2(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2$;

Then

$$P(\rho) = \left(\rho, L(\rho) \left(T + \frac{B(\rho)}{2A(\rho)} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(\rho)(T)).$$

↪ Trace of $P(\rho)$ on \mathcal{F} gives $P_{[\rho]} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$.

If $A(\rho) = B(\rho) = 0$ and $C(\rho) = L(\rho)^2$, consider $P(\rho) = (\rho, L(\rho))$.

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ then for $n_p = \text{ord}_p(B^2 - d\tau^2)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_p P_{[\rho]} = \sum_p n_p P(\rho) = 0$$

Indeed $\sum_p n_p [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) = \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = kT \in \mathcal{O}$ then for $n_p = \text{ord}_p(B^2 - dC)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_p P_{[\rho]} = \sum_p n_p P(\rho) = 0$$

Indeed $\sum_p n_p [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) = \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_\rho n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_\rho n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) = \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_{\rho} n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_{\rho} n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta^*, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) = \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_{\rho} n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_{\rho} n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_A(\Delta, 0)$, $X^2 - A$ if $A > 0$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_{\rho} n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_{\rho} n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) = \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_\rho n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_\rho n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

QUESTION

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) = \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_\rho n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_\rho n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*)$$

Moral (but false) result

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then $r \asymp \#\{[\rho] : \Delta(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } A(\rho) \in (\mathbb{Q}(\rho)^*)^2\}$.

False: if $A = k^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then for $n_\rho = \text{ord}_\rho(B^2 - 4k^2C)$ we have

$$\sum_{[\rho]} n_\rho P_{[\rho]} = \sum_\rho n_\rho P(\rho) = 0$$

indeed $\sum_\rho n_\rho [P(\rho)] - d[O]$ is a divisor of $Y - kT - \frac{B(X)}{2k}$.

Notations:

- Δ^* is the radical of Δ (so Δ^* is squarefree) ;
- $\Omega(\Delta)$ is the number of irr. factors of Δ with multiplicities ;
- $M_{\Delta, A} = \text{res}_Y(\Delta(Y), X^2 - A(Y))$;
- $\square(\cdot)$ is the characteristic non-zeros squares where \cdot naturally lives.

Proposition

If $\gcd(A, B) = 1$ then

$$\#\{[\rho] : \Delta^*(\rho) = 0 \text{ et } \square(A(\rho)) = 1\} = \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*) - 2$$

If $P(A)$ divides Δ^* for a P of degree 2.

The theorem

- Nagao's conjecture, proven by Rosen and Silverman for rational elliptic surfaces, gives:

$$r = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Q}{Q} \sum_{p \leq Q} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \bmod p} \sum_{t \bmod p} \left(\frac{A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x)}{p} \right).$$

Using

- Weil's bound;
- Arithmetic;
- Resultant;
- Calculation;

we generalize the work of Arms, Lozano-Robledo and Miller and we obtain

The theorem

- Nagao's conjecture, proven by Rosen and Silverman for rational elliptic surfaces, gives:

$$r = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Q}{Q} \sum_{p \leq Q} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \bmod p} \sum_{t \bmod p} \left(\frac{A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x)}{p} \right).$$

Using

- Weil's bound;
- Arithmetic;
- Resultant;
- Calculation;

we generalize the work of Arms, Lozano-Robledo and Miller and we obtain

The theorem

- Nagao's conjecture, proven by Rosen and Silverman for rational elliptic surfaces, gives:

$$r = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Q}{Q} \sum_{p \leq Q} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \bmod p} \sum_{t \bmod p} \left(\frac{A(x)t^2 + B(x)t + C(x)}{p} \right).$$

Using

- ~ Weil's bound;
- ~ Arithmetic;
- ~ Resultant;
- ~ Calculation;

we generalize the work of Arms, Lozano-Robledo and Miller and we obtain

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}r &= \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*) - \square(A) - \Upsilon_{\Delta, A} \\&- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B)^*) - \Omega(M_{\gcd(A, B)^*, C}) + \Upsilon_{\gcd(A, B), C}) \\&- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B, C)^*) - \Omega(\gcd(A, B, C)^*))\end{aligned}$$

where $\Upsilon_{P, Q}$ small, explicit and often 0.

→ Algorithmic and explicit result

Corollary

if $A = 0$ then

$$r = \Omega(M_{B^*, C}) - \Omega(B^*) - 2 \deg(\gcd(B, C)^*) + \Omega(\gcd(B, C)^*) - \Upsilon_{B, C}.$$

if $A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ then $r = \Omega(\Delta^*) - 1$.

We have $r \leq \deg(\Delta^*) \leq 5$ and all the rank are possible.

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*) - \square(A) - \Upsilon_{\Delta, A} \\ &- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B)^*)) - \Omega(M_{\gcd(A, B)^*, C}) + \Upsilon_{\gcd(A, B), C} \\ &- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B, C)^*)) - \Omega(\gcd(A, B, C)^*)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Upsilon_{P, Q}$ small, explicit and often 0.

$$\Upsilon_{P_1, P_2} := \begin{cases} \delta_t(1 - \delta_u + \square(u) - \square(uD_{P_1})) & \text{si } \deg(P_1) = 2 \text{ and } P_2(X) = Q(X)P_1(X) + (tX + u) \text{ with } t, u \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 \neq Q \in \mathbb{Q}[X] ; \\ \frac{\Upsilon_{U, W}}{\Omega(M_{U, W})} + \frac{2}{\Omega(U(x^2))} - & \text{si } \deg(P_1) = 4, \deg(P_2) = 2 \text{ and} \\ \Omega(U(\frac{x^2 - D_{P_2}}{4s})) & P_1(X) = U(P_2(X)) \text{ for a } U \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \text{ and where} \\ & W(X) := 4sX^2 + D_{P_2}X ; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

with D_P the discriminant of P and s leading coefficient of P_2 .

~ Algorithmic and explicit result

Corollary

If $A = 0$ then

$$r = \Omega(M_{B^*, C}) - \Omega(B^*) - 2 \deg(\gcd(B, C)^*) + \Omega(\gcd(B, C)^*) - \Upsilon_{B, C}.$$

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}r &= \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*) - \square(A) - \Upsilon_{\Delta, A} \\&- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B)^*) - \Omega(M_{\gcd(A, B)^*, C}) + \Upsilon_{\gcd(A, B), C}) \\&- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B, C)^*) - \Omega(\gcd(A, B, C)^*))\end{aligned}$$

where $\Upsilon_{P, Q}$ small, explicit and often 0.

~ Algorithmic and explicit result

if $A = 0$ then

$$r = \Omega(M_{B^*, C}) - \Omega(B^*) - 2 \deg(\gcd(B, C)^*) + \Omega(\gcd(B, C)^*) - \Upsilon_{B, C}.$$

if $A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ then $r = \Omega(\Delta^*) - 1$.

We have $r \leq \deg(\Delta^*) \leq 5$ and all the rank are possible.

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}r &= \Omega(M_{\Delta^*, A}) - \Omega(\Delta^*) - \square(A) - \Upsilon_{\Delta, A} \\&- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B)^*) - \Omega(M_{\gcd(A, B)^*, C}) + \Upsilon_{\gcd(A, B), C}) \\&- (2 \deg(\gcd(A, B, C)^*) - \Omega(\gcd(A, B, C)^*))\end{aligned}$$

where $\Upsilon_{P, Q}$ small, explicit and often 0.

~ Algorithmic and explicit result

Corollary

If $A = 0$ then

$$r = \Omega(M_{B^*, C}) - \Omega(B^*) - 2 \deg(\gcd(B, C)^*) + \Omega(\gcd(B, C)^*) - \Upsilon_{B, C}.$$

If $A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ then $r = \Omega(\Delta^*) - 1$.

We have $r \leq \deg(\Delta^*) \leq 5$ and all the rank are possible.

Rank 5 example: $Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$

$$A(X) = X(X-1) + k^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b_1 + b_2)(m_3^2 - 1)^2 B(X) &= 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3)X^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)X + \\ &\quad - 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 - 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 - 2m_3^2)k^2 + \\ &\quad - ((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2) \\ C(X) &= \frac{B(X)^2 + 4X(X-1)(X-r_3)(X-r_4)(X-r_5)}{4A(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1-m_3^2}$, $r_4 = 1 - r_3$ and $r_5 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1+m_3^2}$.

With $m_3 = \frac{q-p}{q+p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 + 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^2 + 4m_3^2k^2 \\ q &= -2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k + \\ &\quad + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have $A(r_i) = \left(\frac{(1+m_i^2)k + m_i}{1-m_i^2} \right)^2$.

Rank 5 example: $Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$

$$A(X) = X(X - 1) + k^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b_1 + b_2)(m_3^2 - 1)^2 B(X) &= 2((m_3^2 - 2m_3 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^2 - 2m_3 + 1)b_1b_3)X^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^2 - 2m_3 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^2 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)X + \\ &\quad - 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 - 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 - 2m_3^2)k^2 + \\ &\quad - ((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k \\ C(X) &= \frac{B(X)^2 + 4X(X - 1)(X - r_3)(X - r_4)(X - r_5)}{4A(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } r_3 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1-m_3}, \quad r_4 = 1 - r_3 \text{ and } r_5 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1+m_3}.$$

With $m_3 = \frac{q-p}{q+p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 + 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + 4m_3^2k^2 \\ q &= -2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k + \\ &\quad + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_3 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We have } A(r_i) = \left(\frac{(1 + m_i^2)k + m_i}{1 - m_i^2} \right)^2.$$

Rank 5 example: $Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$

$$A(X) = X(X - 1) + k^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b_1 + b_2)(m_3^2 - 1)^2 B(X) &= 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2)X^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)X + \\ &\quad - 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 - 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 - 2m_3^2)k^2 + \\ &\quad - ((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2) \\ C(X) &= \frac{B(X) + 4A(X)(X - 1)(X - r_3)(X - r_4)(X - r_5)}{4A(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } r_3 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1-m_3}, \quad r_4 = 1 - r_3 \text{ and } r_5 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1+m_3}.$$

With $m_3 = \frac{q-p}{q+p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 + 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + 4m_3^2k^2 \\ q &= -2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k + \\ &\quad + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We have } A(r_i) = \left(\frac{(1 + m_i^2)k + m_i}{1 - m_i^2} \right)^2.$$

Rank 5 example: $Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$

$$A(X) = X(X - 1) + k^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b_1 + b_2)(m_3^2 - 1)^2 B(X) &= 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2)X^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)X + \\ &\quad - 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 - 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 - 2m_3^2)k^2 + \\ &\quad - ((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2) \\ C(X) &= \frac{B(X)^2 + 4X(X - 1)(X - r_3)(X - r_4)(X - r_5)}{4A(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1-m_3^2}$, $r_4 = 1 - r_3$ and $r_5 = \frac{2m_5k+1}{1-m_5^2}$.

With $m_3 = \frac{k-p}{q+p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 + 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + 4m_3^2k^2 \\ q &= -2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k + \\ &\quad + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We have } A(r_i) = \left(\frac{(1+m_i^2)k + m_i}{1-m_i^2} \right)^2.$$

Rank 5 example: $Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$

$$A(X) = X(X - 1) + k^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b_1 + b_2)(m_3^2 - 1)^2 B(X) &= 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2)X^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)X + \\ &\quad - 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 - 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 - 2m_3^2)k^2 + \\ &\quad - ((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2) \\ C(X) &= \frac{B(X)^2 + 4X(X - 1)(X - r_3)(X - r_4)(X - r_5)}{4A(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1-m_3^2}$, $r_4 = 1 - r_3$ and $r_5 = \frac{2m_5k+1}{1-m_5^2}$.

With $m_5 = \frac{q-p}{q+p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 + 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + 4m_3^2k^2 \\ q &= -2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k + \\ &\quad + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have $A(r_i) = \left(\frac{(1+m_i^2)k + m_i}{1-m_i^2} \right)^2$.

Rank 5 example: $Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$

$$A(X) = X(X - 1) + k^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(b_1 + b_2)(m_3^2 - 1)^2 B(X) &= 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2)X^2 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)X + \\ &\quad - 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 - 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + \\ &\quad 2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 - 2m_3^2)k^2 + \\ &\quad - ((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2) \\ C(X) &= \frac{B(X)^2 + 4X(X - 1)(X - r_3)(X - r_4)(X - r_5)}{4A(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3 = \frac{2m_3k+1}{1-m_3^2}$, $r_4 = 1 - r_3$ and $r_5 = \frac{2m_5k+1}{1-m_5^2}$.

With $m_5 = \frac{q-p}{q+p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 4(m_3^4 + 2m_3^2 + 1)k^4 + 8(m_3^3 + m_3)k^3 + 4m_3^2k^2 \\ q &= -2((m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2)k + \\ &\quad + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_2^2 + 2(m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1b_2 + (m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 + 1)b_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have $A(r_i) = \left(\frac{(1 + m_i^2)k + m_i}{1 - m_i^2} \right)^2$.

Application: $A = k^2$, $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = k^2T^2 + BT + C$

- $r = \Omega((B^2 - 4k^2C)^*) - 1$.

Corollary

Let $C: Y^2 = C(X)$ an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O\}$. Then, for all B of degree ≤ 2 and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, the polynomial $B^2 - 4k^2C$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial.

- $C: Y^2 = X^3 + X + 5$ has rank 0 and no nonzero torsion point, so

$$P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$$

is irreducible for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ et $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Application: $A = k^2$, $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = k^2T^2 + BT + C$

- $r = \Omega((B^2 - 4k^2C)^*) - 1$.

Corollary

Let $\mathcal{C}: Y^2 = C(X)$ an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O\}$. Then, for all B of degree ≤ 2 and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, the polynomial $B^2 - 4k^2C$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial.

- $\mathcal{C}: Y^2 = X^3 + X + 5$ has rank 0 and no nonzero torsion point, so

$$P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$$

is irreducible for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ et $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Application: $A = k^2$, $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = k^2T^2 + BT + C$

- $r = \Omega((B^2 - 4k^2C)^*) - 1$.

Corollary

Let $\mathcal{C}: Y^2 = C(X)$ an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O\}$. Then, for all B of degree ≤ 2 and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, the polynomial $B^2 - 4k^2C$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial.

- $\mathcal{C}: Y^2 = X^3 + X + 5$ has rank 0 and no nonzero torsion point, so

$$P(X) = 4k^2X^3 - b_1^2X^2 - (2b_0b_1 - 4k^2)X - (b_0^2 - 20k^2)$$

is irreducible for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ et $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Goal:

If $P \in F(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

- ~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $F: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .
- ~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $F: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Fact

If $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

- ~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .
- ~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Fact

If $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

- ~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .
- ~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Fact

If $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .

~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Fact

If $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

- ~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .
- ~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Fact

If $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

- ~~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .
- ~~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .

Application: finding rank and rational points.

Fact

If $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}(T))$, we can compute the trace P efficiently (Thanks to Nicolas Mascot).

Let

$$E: Y^2 = C(X) = X^3 + a_2X^2 + a_1X + a_0$$

an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} .

- ~~ Search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank $r \geq 1$ and compute a point P .
- ~~ Take $T = 0$. Generalization of brute force search (take $A = 0$ and $B = b_1X + b_0$).

Variant: if we know $(x_0, y_0) \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, search $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ on the form

$$A = (a_1X + a_0)^2$$

$$B = (X - x_0)(b_1X + b_0) + 2(a_1x_0 + a_0)y_0$$

st $\mathcal{F}: Y^2 = A(X)T^2 + B(X)T + C(X)$ has rank ≥ 2 .